IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5568 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

RAJENDRA B DAVE

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR BP TANNA for Petitioner

GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

Date of decision: 20/04/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. The learned senior counsel Mr.B.P.Tanna, appearing for the petitioner submitted that in view of the statement made in affidavit-in-reply to the effect that the petitioner has been duly considered as on due date for consideration and on such consideration he has been

given the appointment and posting on the post of Additional Collector and that the remarks in question have not in any way adversely affected the consideration or posting of the petitioner, the petition does not survive and has become infructuous.

#. In view of this statement and the learned AGP confirming this fact, the petition is disposed as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

(D. H. WAGHELA, J.)
kks